

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION N APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 1215-0496P (000408-078) 3455 10/718,805 11/24/2003 Rena Y. Jacobson **EXAMINER** 2292 7590 11/15/2004 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH VALENZA, JOSEPH E **PO BOX 747** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 3651

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/718,805	JACOBSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph Valenza	3651
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	, , ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03. 		ate : Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logothetis et al, Peterson et al '473 or '341 or Burdon et al.

The methods of fabricating a package as taught by the prior art are functionally equivalent to that claimed by applicant.

In Logothetis et al, layers 1-3, layers 5 and 6 and layers 8 and 9 are bonded into groups 110, 120 and 130, respectively. Then groups 110, 120 and 130 at least are bonded into unit 200. Cavities are formed in some of the layers. It has not been proven to be critical to the method of assembly when or how the cavities are formed and, therefore, is considered to be an obvious matter of choice. Note column 9 line 58+ for assembly and pressure information.

In Peterson et al '473, note figures 6A-D and their related disclosure.

In Peterson et al '341, note column 12, lines 41-54.

In Burdon et al, note column 7, lines 36-56.

With regard to "dividing in claim 1 and "panel" of claim 2 and "parts" of claim 3, the source of parts or layers is the sheets of green-tape in Logothetis et al, Peterson et al '473 or '341 or Burdon et al.

With regard to claim 5, the number of layers and their thickness have not been shown to be critical to the method of assembly.

With regard to claims 6-8, the article being formed has not been shown to be critical to the method of assembly.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

With regard to claims 12 and 13, the method of forming the cavity has not been shown to be critical to the method of assembly.

With regard to claims 11, 14 and 15, the pressure used to bond has not been shown to be critical to the method of assembly because the use of adhesives, etc. has not been specified.

With regard to claims 16-20, the addition of additional parts has not been shown to be critical to the method of assembly.

- 2. Alexander and Breglia et al are pertinent.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph Valenya